

Physics 401 Assignment # 4: POTENTIALS, GAUGES and RELATIVITY

Wed. 25 Jan. 2006 — finish by Wed. 1 Feb.

Please review Section 10.1 and Ch. 12.

1. (p. 420, Problem 10.3) — **GIVEN** V & $\vec{A} \dots$ Find the \vec{E} , \vec{B} , ρ & \vec{J} corresponding to

$$V(\vec{r}, t) = 0, \quad \vec{A}(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qt}{r^2} \hat{r}.$$

2. POINT CHARGE:

- (a) Find the \vec{E} and \vec{B} fields corresponding to a stationary point charge q situated at the origin.
- (b) State the charge and current distributions of this situation.
- (c) What are the electric and magnetic potentials?
- (d) Is there any relation between this situation and that described in Problem 10.3?

3. (p. 420, Problem 10.5) — **GAUGE TRANSFORMATION:** Use the gauge function

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{qt}{r} \right)$$

to transform the potentials in Problem 10.3, and comment on the result.

4. WHICH GAUGE?

- (a) In Problem 10.3 above, are the potentials in the Coulomb gauge, the Lorentz gauge, both, or neither?
- (b) In Problem 2 above, are the potentials in the Coulomb gauge, the Lorentz gauge, both, or neither?

5. **NATURAL UNITS:** Since c is now a *defined* quantity that keeps appearing in confusing places in our notation for 4-vectors *etc.*, and since *nanoseconds* (ns) are perfectly handy units for *distance*, it seems silly to not just measure time and distance in the same units (seconds) and set $c = 1$. While we're at it, why not set the ubiquitous constant in quantum mechanics to unity as well ($\hbar = 1$) so that all angular momenta are unitless and (because $E = \hbar\omega$) energies are measured in s^{-1} ?

- (a) In what units would we then measure velocities, momenta, masses, forces and accelerations?
- (b) Suppose we set the Coulomb force constant $k_E \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} = 1$ as well. In what units would we then measure charge, electric field, magnetic field, and potentials V and \vec{A} ?
- (c) Write out Maxwell's equations in this system of units. (*Hint:* We must have $\epsilon_0 \mu_0 = 1$)¹

6. **4-POTENTIAL:** In Eq. (12.131) on p. 541, Griffiths states that, "As you might guess, V and \vec{A} together constitute a 4-vector: $A^\mu = (V/c, A_x, A_y, A_z)$." This is a very strong statement with profound consequences. You can't just take any 3-vector and combine it with a convenient scalar in the same units to make a true 4-vector! *Explain why* we should believe this about A^μ , and list any essential *conditions* that must be met for it to be true.

¹You are allowed to consult the literature on this, or even http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck_units, but please explain your own reasoning!